

SHELTON, CT 06484-8000

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/965,750 09/28/2001 Joseph L. Gargiulo F-350 2978 919 7590 **EXAMINER** 12/21/2005 PITNEY BOWES INC. DIXON, THOMAS A 35 WATERVIEW DRIVE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 3000 MSC 26-22 3639

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|-----------------------------|
| Office Action Summary | 09/965,750 | GARGIULO, JOSEPH L. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Thomas A. Dixon | 3639 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | |
| Status | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u> | ılv 2005. | |
| | action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| <u> </u> | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-11</u> is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 12-18</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Attachment(s) | A) [7] 1-4 t 2 | (DTO 442) |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P | atent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/7/05</u> . 6) | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/965,750 Page 2

Art Unit: 3639

DETAILED ACTION

1. The ids of 7/7/05 has been considered.

2. The remarks of 6/24/05 have been considered, however a careful reading of the claims revealed the objections and rejections below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear if the "population of postage metering systems of line 5 is the same as the "population of postage metering systems" of line 4 because they're both introduced by the word "a". Further, because it is unclear if the "population..." is the same, it is unclear which population "the population" of lines 9, 11 and 14 are referring to.

Further, it is unclear what hardware is being used for the storing of the voice message.

4. Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "the population of postage metering systems" lacks antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,750 Page 3

Art Unit: 3639

5. Claims 5-7, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "and/or" makes the claims indefinite. Further, the phrase "the computer based text" lacks antecedent basis.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 8-11 are allowable.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As per Claim 8.

The prior art of record, specifically, Schwartz et al (5,615,120) or Katikaneni et al (6,356,833) fail to disclose or fairly teach:

receiving an incoming telephone call at one postage metering system of the population of postage metering system;

storing a voice message associated with the telephone call; and retrieveing the voice message from another postage metering system of the population of postage metering systems.

Art Unit: 3639

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Dixon whose telephone number is (571) 272-6803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas A. Dixon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3639

December 05